



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 10/21/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/630,284 07/30/2003 Jayan		Jayaprakash R.	GEMS 129676XT(CIP)(3745)	9708	
7590 10/21/2005			EXAMINER		
Tracey R. Loughlin			FOOTLAND, LENARD A		
	CLEMENTS & HOFER			<u></u>	
Suite 300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1901 Roxborough Road			3682		
Charlotte, NC	28211				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/630,	284	R. ET AL.			
		Examin	er	Art Unit			
		Lenard /	A. Footland	3682			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun	nication appears on t	he cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F	OR REPLY IS SET	TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS.			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE Insions of time may be available under the provision. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this complex period for reply is specified above, the maximum is reto reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three months ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF T s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no of munication. tatutory period will apply and y will, by statute, cause the a	FHIS COMMUNICATION event, however, may a reply be tin will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from pplication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status				·			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on <u>5-31-20</u> .					
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the	application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>10-15</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-5,7 and 8</u> is/are rejected.						
7)🖂	Claim(s) <u>6 and 9</u> is/are objected to.						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)□	The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
•	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority	documents have be	een received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority	documents have be	een received in Applicat	ion No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies	of the priority docur	nents have been receive	ed in this National Stage			
	application from the Internation	onal Bureau (PCT R	ule 17.2(a)).				
* (* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
				•			
Attachment(s)							
	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
	Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
	er No(s)/Mail Date		6) Other:				
S. Pathot and T	rademark Office						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Off PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Application/Control Number: 10/630,284

Art Unit: 3682

The rejection under 35 U.S.C. 101 is withdrawn.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5 and 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamamoto et al. ("Yamamoto") in view of Ishikawa and design choice.

Application/Control Number: 10/630,284

Art Unit: 3682

The examiner finds that, except for those shown by Ishikawa and design choice, Yamamoto discloses all of the claimed elements.

The examiner finds that the Ishikawa and design choice reference discloses what Yamamoto does not, that electrically conductive ceramics (col. 4, lines 16-33) may be provided for the self-evident purpose of conducting electricity. Applying the test for obviousness set forth in *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981), which is what the combined teaching of the references would have suggested to those of ordinary skill in the art, the examiner finds that one having ordinary skill in the art would have found that providing electrically conductive ceramic as taught by Ishikawa would have been obvious to conduct electricity.

The selection of a known electrically conductive ceramic like TIC based on its suitability for the intended use is a design consideration within the skill in the art. *In re Leshin*, 227 F.2d 197, 199, 125 USPQ 416, 418 (CCPA 1960).

Claim(s) 6 and 9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim(s) 10-15 are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 10/630,284

Art Unit: 3682

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lenard A. Footland, whose telephone number is (571) 272-7103.

Fax: 703-872-9326

Lenard A. Footland

Imal A Tother

Primary Examiner

Technology Center 3600

Art Unit 3682

laf

October 18, 2005